Cas		nt 24-3 Filed 04/18/11 Page 1 of 5 Page ID #:204				
1	DENNIS A BARLOW STATE BA	AR NO 63849				
2	DENNIS A. BARLOW, STATE BAR NO. 63849 dbarlow@ci.burbank.ca.us					
	JULI C. SCOTT, STATE BAR NO.	. 79653				
3	jscott@ci.burbank.ca.us	DAD NO. 115502				
4	CAROL A. HUMISTON, STATE BAR NO. 115592 chumiston@ci.burbank.ca.us					
5	275 E. Olive Avenue					
6	Burbank, CA 91502					
7	TEL: (818)238-5702/FAX: (818) 238-5724					
	Attorney for Defendants CITY OF BURBANK,					
8	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, BURBANK POLICE OFFICERS ADAM					
9	BAUMGARTEN AND MICHAEL	, EDWARDS				
10						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	·					
14	PRESTON SMITH, an individual;) Case No. CV10-8840 VBF (AGRx)				
15	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT OF				
16	v.	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN				
		SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR				
17	CITY OF BURBANK, et al.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN				
18	Defendant.	THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY				
19		ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES BY THE CITY OF BURBANK,				
20		BURBANK POLICE				
21		DEPARTMENT, OFFICER ADAM				
22) BAUMGARTEN, AND OFFICER				
23) MICHAEL EDWARDS				
) DATE: MAY 16, 2011) TIME: 1:30 P.M.				
24) CTRM: 9				
25)				
26	CTATEMENT OF HIS CONTROL	1 VERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF				
27		N FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN				
28	11	RY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES BY THE				
	CITY OF BURBANK, BURBAN	K POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER				
	ADAM BAUMGARTEN, AND O	OFFICER MICHAEL EDWARDS				
	11					

Case	2:10-0	cv-08840-VBF -AGR Document 24-3 Filed 04/18/11 Page 2 of 5 Page ID #:205	
1		TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND	
2	THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
3		The City of Burbank, Burbank Police Department, and Burbank Police	
4	Officers Adam Baumgarten and Michael Edwards hereby submit their		
5	Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law:		
6	UNCONTROVERTED FACTS		
7	1.	On April 10, 2009, Plaintiff was arrested for a violation of Health and Safety	
8		Code § 11550(a). (Exhibit 1.)	
9	2.	During the course of affecting that arrest, plaintiff fled from Officer	
10		Gunn. (Exhibit 1.)	
11	3.	According to plaintiff, Officer Gunn used a taser on plaintiff six	
12		times. (Comp., ¶17-18.)	
13	4.	After plaintiff was tasered, plaintiff could hear officers shouting to Officer	
14		Gunn, "Why can't we here you on your radio?" (Comp.; ¶ 18.)	
15	5.	At that point, plaintiff started yelling, "He's killing me. He's killing	
16		me." (Comp., ¶ 18.)	
17	6.	According to the complaint, Officer Baumgarten "upon arriving at the	
18		scene" shouted at plaintiff to, "turn on your stomach and shut the fuck up."	
19		(Comp., ¶ 18.)	
20	7.	Then according the plaintiff, Officers Baumgarten and Edwards used	
21		force to ultimately affect plaintiff's arrest. (Comp., ¶ 18.)	
22	8.	There is no allegation of excessive force used following Plaintiffs arrest.	
23	9.	on April 14, 2009, a four-count misdemeanor complaint was filed against	
		Plaintiff in the Los Angeles Superior Court. (Exhibit 1.)	
24	10.	Count III of the misdemeanor complaint alleged that plaintiff "did willfully	
25 26		and unlawfully use, or be under the influence of a controlled substance, to 2	
27		TEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN	

THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES BY THE CITY OF BURBANK, BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER

ADAM BAUMGARTEN, AND OFFICER MICHAEL EDWARDS

ADAM BAUMGARTEN, AND OFFICER MICHAEL EDWARDS

Case	2:10-cv-08840-VBF -AGR Document 24-3 Filed 04/18/11 Page 4 of 5 Page ID #:207
1	counsel, Plaintiff admitted he understood the charges against him, and pled
2	guilty. (Exhibit 3.)
3	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
4	1. Plaintiffs Section 1983 claim against Officer Baumgarten, and therefore,
5	also the City of Burbank and Burbank Police Department, is barred because
6	Plaintiff plead guilty to violating California Penal Code § 148(a)(1) and a
7	judgment in Plaintiffs favor would necessarily invalidate his conviction.
8	Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 144 S. Ct. 2364, 129 L. Ed. 2d 383
9	(1994).
10	2. Plaintiffs Section 1983 claim against Officer Edwards, and therefore, also
11	the City of Burbank and Burbank Police Department, is barred because
12	Plaintiff plead guilty to violating California Penal Code § 148(a)(1) and a
13	judgment in Plaintiffs favor would necessarily invalidate his conviction. Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 144 S. Ct. 2364, 129 L. Ed. 2d 383
14	(1994).
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	4
27	STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN
28	THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES BY THE
	CITY OF BURBANK, BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER ADAM BAUMGARTEN, AND OFFICER MICHAEL EDWARDS

Case	2:10-cv-08840-VBF -AGR Document 24-3 Filed 04/18/11 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:208
1	3. Plaintiffs state law claims against Officers Baumgarten and Edwards, the
2	City of Burbank, and the Burbank Police Department, are barred because of
3	his conviction for violating California Penal Code § 148(a)(1). Yount v. City
4	of Sacramento, 43 Ca1.4th 885, 902 (2008).
5	DATED: April 18, 2011
6	Respectfully submitted,
7.	DENNIS A. BARLOW City Attorney
8	City Attoricy
9	N. Alexander
10	CAROL A. HUMISTON
11	Sr. Assistant City Attorney Attorney for Defendants CITY OF
12	BURBANK BURBANK POLICE
13	DEPARTMENT, BURBANK POLICE OFFICERS ADAM
14	BAUMGARTEN AND MICHAEL
15	EDWARDS
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	5
27	STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF
28	LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES BY THE
	CITY OF BURBANK, BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER ADAM BAUMGARTEN, AND OFFICER MICHAEL EDWARDS